

**HHS PUBLIC ACCESS**

Author manuscript

*Anal Bioanal Chem.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 December 05.

Published in final edited form as:

*Anal Bioanal Chem.* 2015 April ; 407(11): 2945–2954. doi:10.1007/s00216-014-8441-0.**Development of urine standard reference materials for metabolites of organic chemicals including polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, phthalates, phenols, parabens, and volatile organic compounds****Michele M. Schantz,**

Chemical Sciences Division, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, USA

**Bruce A. Benner Jr.,**

Chemical Sciences Division, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, USA

**N. Alan Heckert,**

Statistical Engineering Division, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Charleston, SC 29412, USA

**Lane C. Sander,**

Chemical Sciences Division, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, USA

**Katherine E. Sharpless,**

Chemical Sciences Division, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, USA

**Stacy S. Vander Pol,**

Chemical Sciences Division, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, USA

**Y. Vasquez,**

Chemical Sciences Division, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, USA. Centro de Metrología Química, Fundación Chile, 8320000 Santiago, Chile

**M. Villegas,**

Chemical Sciences Division, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, USA. Centro de Metrología Química, Fundación Chile, 8320000 Santiago, Chile

**Stephen A. Wise,**

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s00216-014-8441-0) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

**Disclaimer** Certain commercial equipment, instruments, or materials are identified in this paper to specify adequately the experimental procedure. Such identification does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the National Institute of Standards and Technology, nor does it imply that the materials or equipment identified are necessarily the best available for the purpose. The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Chemical Sciences Division, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, USA

**K. Udeni Alwis,**

National Center for Environmental Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA 30341, USA

**Benjamin C. Blount,**

National Center for Environmental Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA 30341, USA

**Antonia M. Calafat,**

National Center for Environmental Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA 30341, USA

**Zheng Li,**

National Center for Environmental Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA 30341, USA

**Manori J. Silva,**

National Center for Environmental Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA 30341, USA

**Xiaoyun Ye,**

National Center for Environmental Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA 30341, USA

**Éric Gaudreau,**

Toxicology Laboratory, Institut National de Santé Publique du Québec, 945, Avenue Wolfe, Québec, Québec G1V 5B3, Canada

**Donald G. Patterson Jr, and**

Environ Solutions Consulting, Inc., 4921 Bull Creek Road, Auburn, GA 30011, USA

**Andreas Sjödin**

National Center for Environmental Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA 30341, USA

Michele M. Schantz: michele.schantz@nist.gov

## Abstract

Two new Standard Reference Materials (SRMs), SRM 3672 Organic Contaminants in Smokers' Urine (Frozen) and SRM 3673 Organic Contaminants in Non-Smokers' Urine (Frozen), have been developed in support of studies for assessment of human exposure to select organic environmental contaminants. Collaborations among three organizations resulted in certified values for 11 hydroxylated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (OH-PAHs) and reference values for 11 phthalate metabolites, 8 environmental phenols and parabens, and 24 volatile organic compound (VOC) metabolites. Reference values are also available for creatinine and the free forms of caffeine, theobromine, ibuprofen, nicotine, cotinine, and 3-hydroxycotinine. These are the first urine Certified Reference Materials characterized for metabolites of organic environmental contaminants. Noteworthy, the mass fractions of the environmental organic contaminants in the

two SRMs are within the ranges reported in population survey studies such as the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) and the Canadian Health Measures Survey (CHMS). These SRMs will be useful as quality control samples for ensuring compatibility of results among population survey studies and will fill a void to assess the accuracy of analytical methods used in studies monitoring human exposure to these organic environmental contaminants.

## Keywords

Reference materials; Urine; PAHs; Phthalates; Phenols; VOCs

---

## Introduction

Urine sampling is widely used to assess exposure to environmental pollutants because it is non-invasive, easily repeatable, and poses minimal or no collection risk to the donor [1]. In addition, urine is the bodily fluid used for the biomonitoring of compounds with short biological half-lives. Many environmental pollutants, including certain nicotine metabolites, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH), phthalate, phenol, paraben, and volatile organic compound (VOC) metabolites, are monitored in urine as part of studies such as the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) [2] and the Canadian Health Measures Survey (CHMS) [3]. Quantitation of urinary metabolites has been used to assess exposure to the parent compounds [4, 5].

As part of ongoing collaborations with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) [6], the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) has developed two new Standard Reference Materials (SRMs) for organic contaminants in urine, SRM 3672 Organic Contaminants in Smokers' Urine (Frozen) and SRM 3673 Organic Contaminants in Non-Smokers' Urine (Frozen). These two materials have been characterized for hydroxylated PAHs (OH-PAHs), phthalate metabolites, phenols, parabens, VOC metabolites, creatinine, and the free forms of caffeine, theobromine, ibuprofen, nicotine, cotinine, and 3-hydroxycotinine. In addition to CDC and NIST, the Institut National de Santé Publique du Québec (INSPQ) provided data for OH-PAHs.

As noted in the titles of the two SRMs, the sources of the two materials are from smokers (SRM 3672) and non-smokers (SRM 3673). Nicotine is the primary addictive compound in tobacco smoke, and exposure to tobacco products is often assessed by measuring cotinine, one of the major metabolites of nicotine. Cotinine can be easily detected in urine, plasma, and saliva [7]. In addition to nicotine, tobacco smoke contains over 8000 chemicals [8], such as PAHs and VOCs.

People are exposed to mixtures of PAHs through the incomplete combustion of organic compounds from sources such as vehicle emissions, charbroiled foods, and tobacco smoke. Upon exposure, PAHs are metabolized to hydroxylated metabolites (OH-PAHs) [2]. The OH-PAHs are conjugated to glucuronic acid or sulfate for elimination through urine [9]. The urinary concentrations of OH-PAHs have been used to assess the possible sources of human exposure to PAHs [10].

Phthalates are used as plasticizers to make plastics more flexible for use in packaging film and sheets, garden hoses, inflatable toys, blood-storage containers, and medical tubing; phthalates may also be present in personal care products. Once phthalates enter a person's body, they are converted into hydrolytic monoesters which may undergo further phase I and phase II transformations before urinary excretion [2]. Studies quantifying urinary metabolites have been used to identify possible routes of exposure [11].

Humans are exposed to phenols through industrial pollution, pesticide use, food consumption, and use of personal care products [2]. For example, bisphenol A (BPA) is used in the manufacture of polycarbonate plastics and epoxy resins; benzophenone-3 is used as an ultraviolet filter in cosmetic products and plastics; triclosan is used as a bactericide in soap and other personal care products; and parabens are common antimicrobial preservatives used in cosmetics, pharmaceuticals, and food and beverage processing. Similar to PAHs and phthalates, these environmental phenolic compounds are metabolized (e.g., conjugated) for urinary excretion [12].

Exposure to VOCs is ubiquitous, and chronic exposure to high levels of some VOCs may lead to cancer and neurocognitive dysfunction [2]. Most of the mercapturic acid metabolites of VOCs found in urine are specific to environmental exposure and have longer physiological half-lives compared to the parent compounds [13]. The concentrations of selected VOC metabolites in urine have been investigated as a potential tool for early detection of lung cancer [14].

SRMs 3672 and 3673 are the first frozen urine reference materials characterized for organic environmental contaminants. Exposure assessment relying on the urinary metabolites characterized in these materials can be used to identify exposure sources, follow exposure trends, and study potential health effects associated with exposures. Similar to the two serum and two human milk SRMs previously characterized for organic environmental contaminants [6, 15], these urine materials will be useful in validating methods used for exposure assessment and developing methods for future chemicals of concern.

## Experimental

### Sample preparation

In 2009 Solomon Park Research Laboratory (Kirkland, WA) acquired a 50 L pool of non-smokers' urine from donors who were not exposed to secondhand cigarette smoke and a 25 L pool of smokers' urine from donors who smoked more than a pack of cigarettes per day. The urine was filtered prior to aliquotting into amber glass bottles that are capable of withstanding ultra-cold temperatures. Each bottle was filled with 10 mL of urine and stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  prior to shipping on dry ice to NIST.

### OH-PAHs

The approach used for the value assignment of OH-PAHs consisted of combining results from analyses of the material at NIST, CDC, and INSPQ using three different methods all based on gas chromatography with mass spectrometry (GC/MS). At NIST, duplicate test portions of approximately 3 g from 10 vials of each SRM were gravimetrically transferred to

centrifuge tubes, spiked with a known amount of internal standard solution containing 1-naphthol- $d_7$  and 3-hydroxyphenanthrene- $^{13}C_6$ , followed by the addition of sodium acetate and  $\beta$ -glucuronidase/aryl sulfatase. The samples were incubated at 37 °C for 18 h and then eluted through a Strata X (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA) solid-phase extraction (SPE) column. Following washing and elution, the samples were concentrated to 0.5 mL in toluene and transferred to an autosampler vial. N-methyl-N-(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide (MSTFA) was added, and samples were heated at 60 °C for 30 min and analyzed using GC/MS with a 0.25 mm i.d.  $\times$  60 m fused silica capillary column with a 5 % (mole fraction) phenyl methylpolysiloxane phase (0.25  $\mu$ m film thickness; DB-5, Agilent Technologies, Wilmington, DE).

The CDC laboratory used GC coupled with isotope dilution high resolution MS (GC/ID-HRMS) for the measurement of the OH-PAHs as described in Li et al. [9]. At INSPQ, the urine samples were spiked with internal standards (1-naphthol- $^{13}C_6$ , 2-naphthol- $^{13}C_6$ , 2-hydroxyfluorene- $d_9$ , 3-hydroxyphenanthrene- $^{13}C_6$  and 1-hydroxypyrene- $^{13}C_6$ ). The urinary metabolites were hydrolyzed with  $\beta$ -glucuronidase followed by extraction with hexane at neutral pH. These extracts were then evaporated, derivatized with MSTFA, and analyzed using GC coupled with tandem mass spectrometry (GC/MS/MS) on a proprietary non-polar column (DB-XLB, 30 m  $\times$  0.25 mm  $\times$  0.10  $\mu$ m film thickness). Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode was used to quantify the OH-PAHs following an electronic impact (EI mode) [16]. A total of 20, 24 and 4 aliquots were analyzed by NIST, CDC, and INSPQ, respectively.

### Metabolites of phthalates, phenols and parabens, and volatile organic compounds

The on-line SPE high performance liquid chromatography/isotope dilution tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS) methods used at CDC for the quantification of phthalate (18 aliquots of each SRM) and phenol and paraben (6 aliquots of each SRM) metabolites are described in Silva et al. [17], Ye et al. [12], and Ye et al. [18]. BPA was quantified at NIST using a method adapted from Arakawa et al. [19]. Aliquots of approximately 1 g were taken from six vials of each SRM. An internal standard solution containing  $^{13}C_{12}$ -BPA was added followed by the addition of  $\beta$ -glucuronidase. Samples were incubated at 37 °C for 120 min. An amino SPE column was used to remove some of the potential interferences. MSTFA containing 1 % (volume fraction) trimethylchlorosilane (TMCS) was added to the concentrated sample followed by incubation at 60 °C for 20 min prior to GC/MS analysis on a 0.25 mm i.d.  $\times$  30 m fused silica capillary column with a 5 % (mole fraction) phenyl methylpolysiloxane phase (0.25  $\mu$ m film thickness; HP-5MS). The ultra-high performance liquid chromatography -electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry method used at CDC for the quantification of VOC metabolites is described in Alwis et al. [13]. Three aliquots of each SRM were quantified for VOC metabolites.

### Additional analytes

Creatinine was quantified at NIST using liquid chromatography with mass spectrometry (LC/MS) [20]. Samples of the thawed urine were spiked with creatinine- $d_3$  followed by the addition of water and a hydrochloric acid (HCl) solution to bring the final concentration to

0.01 mol/L HCl. LC/MS measurements utilized a Luna C18 column, 0.25 cm × 4.6 mm, 5 μm particle (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA) with single-ion monitoring.

Free (i.e., non-conjugated) levels of ibuprofen, caffeine and theobromine, nicotine, cotinine and 3-hydroxycotinine were measured at NIST using liquid/liquid extraction with chloroform followed by GC/MS analysis similar to that described in Man et al. [7]. Isotopically-labeled nicotine, ibuprofen, caffeine, cotinine, hydroxycotinine, and theobromine were used as the internal standards in the NIST modification of the method. The GC/MS analysis used a 0.25 mm i.d. × 30 m fused silica capillary column with a 50 % (mole fraction) trifluoropropyl methylpolysiloxane phase (0.25 μm film thickness; DB-210).

## Results and discussion

Currently certified, reference, or information values are provided in NIST SRMs that are characterized for chemical composition. The categorization of the assigned value is based on the number and independence of the analytical methods used, source of the results, and degree of confidence in the accuracy of the assigned value [21]. For natural-matrix SRMs, the assignment of certified mass fraction values is typically based on the combination of results from two or more independent methods [21].

For the two SRMs evaluated in the current study, SRM 3672 and SRM 3673, the certified mass fraction values for the OH-PAHs (Table 1) are based on combining data from three institutes: NIST, CDC, and INSPQ. The agreement among the three data sets was good, as shown in the Electronic Supplementary Material (ESM) Tables S1 and S2, with the relative uncertainties ranging from 1 to 24 % with the majority <15 %. Each method used a hydrolysis step followed by extraction and derivatization with the final analysis using GC with either low-resolution MS (NIST), high-resolution MS (CDC), or tandem MS (INSPQ). There are other published methods using LC with fluorescence detection [22] or MS/MS [23]; however, these LC-based methods have some analytical challenges, such as interfering peaks, particularly for the hydroxynaphthalenes, and coelution of several hydroxyphenanthrenes.

The values for the OH-PAHs in SRM 3672 and 3673 fall within the ranges reported in NHANES [2] and the CHMS [3]. The mass fraction of 4-hydroxyphenanthrene is the lowest in both materials (<0.05 μg/kg) and that of 1-hydroxynaphthalene is the highest. Except for 1-hydroxynaphthalene, the mass fraction of each hydroxylated PAH is higher (3 times to 84 times) in the smokers' urine (SRM 3672) than in the non-smokers' urine (SRM 3673). Interestingly, 1-hydroxynaphthalene is 6 times lower in SRM 3672 than in SRM 3673, while 2-hydroxynaphthalene is 6.5 times higher in SRM 3672 than in SRM 3673, following an expected and similar pattern as the remaining PAH metabolites. This suggests that a source other than naphthalene contributed to the levels of 1-hydroxynaphthalene in the non-smokers' urine. It has been reported that 1-hydroxynaphthalene is also the main metabolite of carbaryl (1-naphthyl-N-methylcarbamate), a major active ingredient in commonly used broad spectrum pesticides accounting for more than 85 % of urinary carbaryl metabolites [24, 25]. However, the inconsistency in levels of 1-hydroxy naphthalene could also be



caused by the relatively small number of subjects donating urine to the two SRMs (fewer than 5 subjects per SRM).

In 2008, CDC published geometric means for urinary OH-PAHs in US adults over 20 years of age for both smokers and non-smokers [26]. Other than 1-hydroxynaphthalene and 1-hydroxypyrene, the certified values for the OH-PAHs in the smokers' urine material, SRM 3672, are just slightly higher (within 2 %) or lower than the geometric means for smokers in the US population. The certified value for 1-hydroxynaphthalene is 500 % higher and for 1-hydroxypyrene 66 % higher in SRM 3672 than the US smokers. Other than 1-hydroxynaphthalene, the certified values for the OH-PAHs in the non-smokers' urine material, SRM 3673, are lower than the geometric means for the non-smokers' urine in the US population. The certified value for 1-hydroxynaphthalene is 140 times higher in SRM 3673 than the geometric mean for non-smokers in the US. This comparison is another indication of exposures to sources other than cigarette smoke contributing to the concentrations of some PAH metabolites, particularly 1-hydroxynaphthalene. Chetiyakornkul et al. [22] attributed some of the differences that they noted in their study to exposure to traffic exhaust and biomass burning. Because we do not have information on the donors in this study, it is impossible to draw any conclusions regarding the nature of the exposure sources. With SRMs 3672 and 3673 being the first reference materials characterized for OH-PAHs, they will be useful in future studies to verify the methods used. In a study recently published by CDC, Li et al. [27] used SRMs 3672 and 3673 to validate a new GC-MS/MS method for quantifying 21 metabolites of methylnaphthalenes and PAHs in human urine. In the development of the method, they confirmed the certified values for the OH-PAHs [27].

The urine SRMs are the third in a series of biological fluids that were prepared as spiked and unspiked pairs. (The other two materials are human serum and milk [6].) As was done for the serum and milk, a spiked non-smokers' urine was prepared; the spiking solution contained 25 OH-PAHs in acetonitrile. When the OH-PAHs were quantified in the spiked material, however, the mass fractions were not statistically different than those in the unspiked non-smokers' urine. A separate stability study was then conducted to assess stability of unconjugated OH-PAHs spiked into urine. This was done by combining the contents from 10 vials of the non-smokers' urine chosen at random and spiked with a solution of the OH-PAH standards gravimetrically prepared in acetonitrile. The spiked urine was then aliquoted into 2 mL vials and stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Three aliquots of the spiked urine were removed from the freezer and the mass fractions of the OH-PAHs measured at day 0, 1, 3, 7, and 14. As can be seen in Fig. 1 the OH-PAHs spiked to urine in their free unconjugated form are not stable and are decreasing in concentration up to day 7 after which the concentrations appear to have stabilized. Due to the inherent instability of free form OH-PAHs spiked into urine during storage at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$ , the decision was made to not release an SRM spiked with the free un-conjugated OH-PAHs. The naturally occurring conjugates (sulfate and/or glucuronic acid conjugates) present in urine have been stable during storage.

INSPQ and CDC have also investigated the stability of the OH-PAHs in other pooled urine samples (free and conjugated forms). In the study at INSPQ, 19 OH-PAH compounds were relatively stable at  $-20$  and  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  over the course of a year. However, at  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$  and room

temperature the free and conjugated species are less stable (degrading in less than 1 to 27 weeks depending on the compound). In the studies at CDC, degradation of the free OH-PAHs was noted but not to the same extent as for this pooled urine sample (data not shown). These differences in stability may be due to other constituents in the pooled urine samples; however, this cannot be proven by the limited studies done.

Reference values are provided for phthalate metabolites (Table 2), phenol and paraben metabolites (Table 3), VOC metabolites (Table 4), and additional compounds, including creatinine and non-conjugated caffeine, theobromine, ibuprofen, nicotine, cotinine, and 3-hydroxycotinine (Table 5). The reference values for the metabolites of phthalates, phenols (except BPA), parabens, and VOCs are based on measurements made at CDC, and the reference values for the additional compounds are based on measurements made at NIST. The reference value for BPA is based on data using LC/MS/MS (CDC) and GC/MS (NIST). The agreement between the two sets of data for BPA is good with an expanded uncertainty for the reference values of 5 % (SRM 3672) and 6 % (SRM 3673).

As for the OH-PAHs, the mass fractions of the phthalate, phenol, paraben, and VOC metabolites are generally higher in the smokers' urine than in the non-smokers' urine. There are some exceptions including mono-*n*-butyl phthalate, benzophenone-3, ethyl and propyl paraben, and 2-thioxothiazolidine-4-carboxylic acid. Smoking is not a known relevant source of exposure for the phthalates, phenols, and parabens measured so it is not surprising that the differences in the mass fractions between the two materials are smallest for the phthalate metabolites (a factor of 2 or less). The differences in the mass fractions for the VOC metabolites in the two materials are generally larger (up to approximately a factor of 6). These differences likely represent a larger contribution to the VOC concentrations from smoking compared to a more diverse contribution, such as exposure to plastics or use of personal care products for the phthalate concentrations. Similar to the OH-PAHs, the mass fractions of these metabolites fall within the ranges reported in NHANES [2, 28, 29] and CHMS [3].

The mass fractions for the additional analytes in Table 5 are based on analyses performed at NIST using LC/MS for creatinine and GC/MS for the remaining analytes. The mass fractions for nicotine, ibuprofen, caffeine, cotinine, theobromine, and 3-hydroxycotinine are for the free (non-conjugated) species only. The free ibuprofen, caffeine, and theobromine mass fractions are higher in the non-smokers' urine than in the smokers' urine.

## Conclusion

SRM 3672 Organic Contaminants in Smokers' Urine (Frozen) and SRM 3673 Organic Contaminants in Nonsmokers' Urine (Frozen) are the first urine reference materials characterized for organic contaminants of interest in biomonitoring studies including PAH metabolites, VOC, phthalate, phenol, paraben, caffeine, theobromine, ibuprofen, creatinine, and tobacco markers. These materials will be useful for method development and for ensuring comparability among survey studies done throughout the world to assess human exposure to multiple classes of compounds including PAHs, phthalates, phenols, and VOCs.



## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## References

1. Protano C, Andreoli R, Manini P, Vitali M. *Sci Total Environ*. 2012; 435–436:115–123.
2. CDC. Biomonitoring summaries. 2013. [http://www.cdc.gov/biomonitoring/biomonitoring\\_summaries.html](http://www.cdc.gov/biomonitoring/biomonitoring_summaries.html)
3. Second Report on Human Biomonitoring of Environmental Chemicals in Canada. Results of the Canadian Health Measures Survey Cycle. Apr.2013 2:2009–2011. [www.healthcanada.gc.ca/biomonitoring](http://www.healthcanada.gc.ca/biomonitoring).
4. Jacob J, Seidel A. *J Chromatogr B*. 2002; 778:31–47.
5. Soeborg T, Frederiksen H, Andersson AM. *Reproduction*. 2014; 147:455–463. [PubMed: 24287425]
6. Schantz MM, Eppe G, Focant J-F, Hamilton C, Heckert NA, Heltsley RM, Hoover D, Keller JM, Leigh SD, Patterson DG Jr, Pintar AL, Sharpless KE, Sjödin A, Turner WE, Vander Pol SS, Wise SA. *Anal Bioanal Chem*. 2013; 405:1203–1211. [PubMed: 23132544]
7. Man CN, Gim L-H, Ismail S, Lajis R, Awang R. *J Chromatogr B*. 2006; 844:322–327.
8. Rodgman, A.; Perfetti, TA. *The chemical components of tobacco and tobacco smoke*. CRC Press; New York: 2009.
9. Li Z, Romanoff LC, Trinidad DA, Hussain N, Jones RS, Porter EN, Patterson DG Jr, Sjödin A. *Anal Chem*. 2006; 78:5744–5751. [PubMed: 16906719]
10. Shin HM, McKone TE, Bennett DH. *Atmos Environ*. 2013; 69:148–155.
11. Koch HM, Lorber M, Christensen KLY, Palmke, Koslitz, Bruening T. *Int J Hyg Environ Health*. 2013; 216:672–681. [PubMed: 23333758]
12. Ye X, Kuklenyik Z, Needham LL, Calafat AM. *Anal Chem*. 2005; 77:5407–5413. [PubMed: 16097788]
13. Alwis KU, Blount BC, Britt AS, Patel D, Ashley DL. *Anal Chim Acta*. 2012; 750:152–160. [PubMed: 23062436]
14. Hanai Y, Shimono K, Matsumura K, Vachani A, Slbelda S, Yamazaki K, Beauchamp GK, Oka H. *Biosci Biotech Biochem*. 2012; 76:679–684.
15. Keller JM, Calafat AM, Kato K, Ellefson ME, Reagen WK, Strynar M, O'Connell S, Butt CM, Mabury SA, Small J, Muir DC, Leigh SD, Schantz MM. *Anal Bioanal Chem*. 2010; 397:439–451. [PubMed: 19862506]
16. Gaudreau, É.; Bienvenu, J-F.; Bérubé, R.; Daigle, É.; Chouinard, S.; Kim, M. Using the agilent 7000B triple quadrupole GC/MS for parts per trillion detection of PAH metabolites in human urine. 2012. <http://www.chem.agilent.com/Library/applications/5991-0991EN.pdf>
17. Silva MJ, Samander E, Preau JL, Reidy JA, Needham LL, Calafat AM. *J Chromatogr B*. 2007; 860:106–112.
18. Ye X, Kuklenyik Z, Bishop AM, Needham LL, Calafat AM. *J Chromatogr B*. 2006; 844:53–59.
19. Arakawa C, Fujimaki K, Yoshinaga J, Imai H, Serizawa S, Shiraishi H. *Environ Health Prev Med*. 2004; 9:22–26. [PubMed: 21432334]
20. Fan Z, Xie F, Qiaoling X, Wang S, Ding L, Lui H. *Chromatographia*. 2008; 68:623.
21. May, W.; Parris, R.; Beck, C.; Fassett, J.; Greenberg, R.; Guenther, F.; Kramer, G.; Wise, S.; Gills, T.; Colbert, J.; Gettings, R.; MacDonald, B. NIST special publication 260–136. U.S. Government Printing Office; Gaithersburg, MD: 2000. [http://www.nist.gov/manuscript-publication-search.cfm?pub\\_id=200172](http://www.nist.gov/manuscript-publication-search.cfm?pub_id=200172) [Accessed 9 July 2014]
22. Chetiyakornkul T, Toriba A, Kameda T, Tang N, Hayakawa K. *Anal Bioanal Chem*. 2006; 386:712–718. [PubMed: 16924381]
23. Onyemauwa F, Rappaport SM, Sobus JR, Gajdosova D, Wu RA, Waidyanatha S. *J Chromatogr B*. 2009; 877:1117–1125.
24. Maroni M, Colosio C, Ferioli A, Fait A. *Toxicology*. 2000; 143:5–118.

25. Meeker JD, Barr DB, Serdar B, Rappaport SM, Hauser R. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2007; 17:314–320. [PubMed: 16721410]
26. Li Z, Sandau CD, Romanoff LC, Caudill SP, Sjödin A, Needham LL, Patterson DG Jr. Environ Res. 2008; 107:320–331. [PubMed: 18313659]
27. Li Z, Romanoff LC, Trinidad DA, Pittman EN, Hilton D, Hubbard K, Carmichael H, Parker J, Calafat AM, Sjödin A. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2014; 406:3119–3129. [PubMed: 24714969]
28. Alwis KU, deCastro BR, Morrow JC, Blount BC. Tobacco smoke as a major source of acrolein exposure in the US population: NHANES 2005–2006. 2014 (submitted to Environmental Health Perspectives)(.
29. Alwis KU, deCastro BR, Blount BC. Tobacco smoke as a significant source of exposure to 1, 3-butadiene in the US Population: NHANES 2005–2006 (submitted to Environmental Health Perspectives). 2014
30. Dersimonian R, Laird N. Control Clin Trials. 1986; 7:177–188. [PubMed: 3802833]
31. Rukhin AL. Metrologia. 2009; 46:323–331.
32. Efron, B.; Tibshirani, RJ. An introduction to the bootstrap. Chapman & Hall; London: 1993.
33. JCGM 100:2008; Joint Committee for Guides in Metrology. 2008 Accessed 10 April 2013 Available at [http://www.bipm.org/utis/common/documents/jcgm/JCGM\\_100\\_2008\\_E.pdf](http://www.bipm.org/utis/common/documents/jcgm/JCGM_100_2008_E.pdf) see also Taylor BN, Kuyatt CE. Guidelines for Evaluating and Expressing the Uncertainty of NIST Measurement Results. 1994 NIST Technical Note 1297. U.S. Government Printing Office Washington, DC Accessed 10 April 2013 Available at <http://www.nist.gov/phylab/pubs/tn1297/index.cfm>
34. JCGM 101:2008; Joint Committee for Guides in Metrology (BIPM, IEC, IFCC, ILAC, ISO, IUPAC, IUPAP and OIML). International Bureau of Weights and Measures (BIPM); Sèvres, France: 2008. Available at [http://www.bipm.org/utis/common/documents/jcgm/JCGM\\_101\\_2008\\_E.pdf](http://www.bipm.org/utis/common/documents/jcgm/JCGM_101_2008_E.pdf) [Accessed 10 April 2013]
35. Horn RA, Horn SA, Duncan DB. J Am Stat Assoc. 1975; 70:380–385.

## Biographies

**Michele Schantz** was a research chemist in the Chemical Sciences Division of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) from 1980 to 2013 and is currently a guest researcher at NIST. She has been involved in the development of certified reference materials for trace organic constituents in environmental, clinical, food, and dietary supplement matrices.

**Bruce A. Benner Jr.** has worked for nearly 30 years in gas chromatography with mass spectrometric detection (GC/MS) in both electron impact ionization (EI) and chemical ionization (CI) modes, and he has used GC/MS in the certification of SRMs of clinical, environmental, and industrial interests. Bruce has also worked on refining techniques for gleaning both qualitative and semi-quantitative organic chemical information from very small samples (  $\mu\text{g}$ ).



**Alan Heckert** has been a member of the NIST Statistical Engineering Division since 1996. His primary area of interest is statistical computing and statistical graphics and he is one of the authors of the NIST/SEMATECH Engineering Statistics Handbook.



**Katherine Sharpless** was a research chemist and scientific advisor in the Chemical Sciences Division, Material Measurement Laboratory, NIST since 1989. She currently works in the Special Program Office as NIST's Open Access Officer and Editorial Review Board Chair.



**Stacy Vander Pol** is a biologist at the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). She leads the Seabird Tissue Archival and Monitoring Project (STAMP) that collects seabird tissues (primarily eggs) and cryogenically stores them in the Marine Environmental Specimen Bank (ESB), and analyzes aliquots for chemical contaminants (e.g., polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs], organochlorine pesticides to examine spatial, temporal, and species differences. In addition to the STAMP work, Stacy has been involved in several other projects including peregrine falcons, brown pelicans, and bottlenose dolphins as well as value assignment for SRMs and participation in interlaboratory comparisons.



**Stephen A. Wise** is Senior Analytical Chemist in the Chemical Sciences Division of the NIST in Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA. During his career at NIST, he has been involved in the development of certified reference materials for trace organic constituents in environmental, clinical, food, and dietary supplement matrices.



**Udeni Alwis** is a Senior Service Fellow at the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the National Center for Environmental Health. She is the Team Lead for biomonitoring of urinary VOC metabolites, Tobacco and Volatiles Branch, Division of Laboratory Sciences.




**Ben Blount** is Chief of the Tobacco and Volatiles Branch of the Division of Laboratory Sciences, National Center for Environmental Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in Atlanta, Georgia. Over the last two decades, Ben Blount has developed and applied numerous analytical methods for quantifying environmental toxicants and biomarkers of exposure and effect. His recent research interests focus on assessing exposure to tobacco-related toxicants, volatile organic compounds, and toxic anions by measuring biomarkers of these chemicals in human matrices.



**Antonia M. Calafat** is Chief of the Organic Analytical Toxicology Branch at the Division of Laboratory Sciences, National Center for Environmental Health of the CDC in Atlanta, GA. She currently leads CDC's biomonitoring programs for assessing human exposure to pesticides; polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons; chemicals added to consumer and personal-care products such as phthalates and phenols (e.g., bisphenol A, triclosan, parabens); and persistent organic pollutants such as polyfluoroalkyl compounds; polybrominated diphenyl ethers; and polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins, furans, and biphenyls.



**Zheng Li** is a research chemist with the CDC/Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). Her research areas include analytical chemistry, exposure assessment, environmental health, and environmental science and engineering.



**Manori J Silva** is a Research Chemist and the Team Lead for the phthalate biomonitoring section of the Personal Care Products laboratory at the CDC. She has performed extensive research on analytical method development, metabolite identification and exposure assessment of phthalates and phthalate alternatives.



**Xiaoyun (Sherry) Ye** is Chief of the Personal Care Products Laboratory at the Division of Laboratory Sciences of the CDC. She has over 10 years of experience in the bio-monitoring and exposure science fields, and oversees the development and application of analytical methods to assess human exposure to chemicals present in personal care products, including bisphenol A, triclosan, and parabens.



**Éric Gaudreau** responsible for the Environmental organic contaminants division Centre de toxicologie du Québec (CTQ), Institut National de Santé Publique du Québec (INSPQ) Quebec, Canada. We develop analytical methods to measure organic contaminants in biological samples and participate to bio-monitoring studies in measuring contaminants that can affect the health of the general population.




**Donald G. Patterson Jr.** is currently President of EnviroSolutions Consulting, Inc. in Auburn, GA, USA and a Business Development Manager for AXYS Analytical Services Ltd in Sidney, BC, Canada. He is a Principal Scientist for Exponent, a scientific and engineering consulting firm that provides solutions to complex technical problems. He was a member of the Senior Biomedical Research Service within the Organic Analytical Toxicology Branch within the Division of Laboratory Sciences at CDC until his recent retirement after 29 years of U.S. Government service. He is also Adjunct Professor of Environmental Forensics at Penn State University.



**Andreas Sjödin** is the Laboratory Chief of the Persistent Organic Pollutants Laboratory at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Environmental Health, Division of Laboratory Sciences within the Organic Analytical Toxicology Branch. He has been working for many years on the development and application of human biomonitoring analytical methods on epidemiological and exposure assessment studies including among others the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES).





**Fig. 1.** Mass fractions for selected OH-PAHs as a function of time spiked into non-smokers' urine and stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  as a function of time since spiking. Top figure shows only 1-naphthol, middle figure shows only 2-naphthol, and bottom figure shows other compounds spiked into the urine sample

**Table 1**

Certified mass fraction values ( $\mu\text{g/kg}$ ) for OH-PAHs in SRM 3672 organic contaminants in smokers' urine and SRM 3673 organic contaminants in non-smokers' urine

|  | SRM 3672                           | SRM 3673                           |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1-Hydroxynaphthalene <sup>(a,b,c)</sup>  | 33.8 $\pm$ 4.3 <sup>(d)</sup>      | 207 $\pm$ 33 <sup>(e)</sup>        |
| 2-Hydroxynaphthalene <sup>(a,b,c)</sup>  | 8.57 $\pm$ 0.16 <sup>(d)</sup>     | 1.32 $\pm$ 0.03 <sup>(d)</sup>     |
| 9-Hydroxyfluorene <sup>(a,b,c)</sup>     | 0.331 $\pm$ 0.077 <sup>(e)</sup>   | 0.108 $\pm$ 0.026 <sup>(d)</sup>   |
| 3-Hydroxyfluorene <sup>(a,b,c)</sup>     | 0.420 $\pm$ 0.018 <sup>(d)</sup>   | 0.0384 $\pm$ 0.0039 <sup>(d)</sup> |
| 2-Hydroxyfluorene <sup>(a,b,c)</sup>     | 0.854 $\pm$ 0.015 <sup>(d)</sup>   | 0.105 $\pm$ 0.007 <sup>(e)</sup>   |
| 4-Hydroxyphenanthrene <sup>(a,b,c)</sup> | 0.0480 $\pm$ 0.0045 <sup>(d)</sup> | 0.0102 $\pm$ 0.0010 <sup>(e)</sup> |
| 9-Hydroxyphenanthrene <sup>(a,c)</sup>   | 0.959 $\pm$ 0.061 <sup>(d)</sup>   | 0.0114 $\pm$ 0.0009 <sup>(d)</sup> |
| 3-Hydroxyphenanthrene <sup>(a,b,c)</sup> | 0.123 $\pm$ 0.007 <sup>(d)</sup>   | 0.0271 $\pm$ 0.0014 <sup>(d)</sup> |
| 1-Hydroxyphenanthrene <sup>(a,b,c)</sup> | 0.133 $\pm$ 0.014 <sup>(e)</sup>   | 0.0479 $\pm$ 0.0074 <sup>(d)</sup> |
| 2-Hydroxyphenanthrene <sup>(a,b,c)</sup> | 0.0825 $\pm$ 0.0007 <sup>(e)</sup> | 0.0242 $\pm$ 0.0042 <sup>(d)</sup> |
| 1-Hydroxypyrene <sup>(a,b,c)</sup>       | 0.170 $\pm$ 0.010 <sup>(e)</sup>   | 0.0299 $\pm$ 0.0018 <sup>(d)</sup> |

<sup>(a)</sup> NIST GC/MS analysis

<sup>(b)</sup> CDC GC/HRMS analysis; data were converted from ng/mL to  $\mu\text{g/kg}$  using the density of the urine (1.019 g/mL)

<sup>(c)</sup> INSPQ GC/MS/MS analysis; data were converted from ng/mL to  $\mu\text{g/kg}$  using the density of the urine (1.019 g/mL)

<sup>(d)</sup> The certified value is a weighted mean of average mass fractions, with one average from each of two or three analytical methods [30, 31]. The expanded uncertainty is the half-width of a symmetric 95 % parametric bootstrap confidence interval [32], which is consistent with the ISO Guide [33, 34]. The effective coverage factor,  $k$ , is 2

<sup>(e)</sup> The certified mass fraction value is a weighted mean of the mass fractions from three analytical methods [30]. The uncertainty listed with each value is an expanded uncertainty about the mean [30, 31], with coverage factor  $k=2$ , calculated by combining within-method variances with a between-method variance [35] following the ISO Guide [33, 34]

**Table 2**

Reference mass fraction values ( $\mu\text{g/kg}$ ) for selected phthalate metabolites in SRM 3672 organic contaminants in smokers' urine and SRM 3673 organic contaminants in non-smokers' urine

|  | SRM 3672 <sup>(a,b)</sup> | SRM 3673 <sup>(a,b)</sup> |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Mono-carboxynonyl phthalate isomers <sup>(c)</sup> | 1.92 $\pm$ 0.06           | 1.49 $\pm$ 0.02           |
| Mono-carboxyoctyl phthalate isomers <sup>(d)</sup> | 21.3 $\pm$ 1.1            | 10.5 $\pm$ 0.5            |
| Mono-(2-ethyl-5-carboxypentyl) phthalate           | 35.2 $\pm$ 1.7            | 30.1 $\pm$ 1.0            |
| Mono-(2-ethyl-5-hydroxyhexyl) phthalate            | 24.8 $\pm$ 0.4            | 22.3 $\pm$ 0.3            |
| Mono-(2-ethyl-5-oxohexyl) phthalate                | 14.9 $\pm$ 0.4            | 12.2 $\pm$ 0.2            |
| Mono-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate                      | 4.13 $\pm$ 0.15           | 4.34 $\pm$ 0.16           |
| Mono-(3-carboxypropyl) phthalate                   | 2.99 $\pm$ 0.20           | 1.91 $\pm$ 0.21           |
| Monobenzyl phthalate                               | 8.37 $\pm$ 0.18           | 5.69 $\pm$ 0.17           |
| Monoethyl phthalate                                | 94.5 $\pm$ 3.08           | 0.2 $\pm$ 2.1             |
| Mono-isobutyl phthalate                            | 6.40 $\pm$ 0.28           | 5.18 $\pm$ 0.26           |
| Mono- <i>n</i> -butyl phthalate                    | 10.6 $\pm$ 0.5            | 11.2 $\pm$ 0.7            |

<sup>(a)</sup> CDC analysis; data were converted from ng/mL to  $\mu\text{g/kg}$  using the density of the urine (1.019 g/mL)

<sup>(b)</sup> The reference mass fraction value is the mean of results obtained using one analytical technique. The expanded uncertainty,  $U$ , is calculated as  $U = ku_c$ , where  $u_c$  is one standard deviation of the analyte mean, and the coverage factor,  $k$ , is determined from the Student's  $t$ -distribution corresponding to the associated degrees of freedom and a 95 % confidence level for each analyte. The effective coverage factor,  $k$ , is 2.20

<sup>(c)</sup> Mono-(2,7-dimethyl-7-carboxyheptyl) phthalate was used as the calibrant for the quantification of the mono-carboxynonyl phthalate isomers

<sup>(d)</sup> Mono-(2,6-dimethyl-6-carboxyhexyl) phthalate was used as the calibrant for the quantification of the mono-carboxyoctyl phthalate isomers

**Table 3**

Reference mass fraction values ( $\mu\text{g/kg}$ ) for selected phenol metabolites in SRM 3672 organic contaminants in smokers' urine and SRM 3673 organic contaminants in non-smokers' urine

|                                   | SRM 3672                       | SRM 3673                         |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Bisphenol A <sup>(a,b)</sup>      | 3.05 $\pm$ 0.16 <sup>(c)</sup> | 1.96 $\pm$ 0.11 <sup>(c)</sup>   |
| 2,5-Dichlorophenol <sup>(b)</sup> | 1.77 $\pm$ 0.06 <sup>(d)</sup> | 0.687 $\pm$ 0.046 <sup>(d)</sup> |
| Benzophenone-3 <sup>(b)</sup>     | 191 $\pm$ 5 <sup>(d)</sup>     | 274 $\pm$ 7 <sup>(d)</sup>       |
| Methyl Paraben <sup>(b)</sup>     | 113 $\pm$ 2 <sup>(d)</sup>     | 79.5 $\pm$ 2.1 <sup>(d)</sup>    |
| Ethyl Paraben <sup>(b)</sup>      | 8.12 $\pm$ 0.20 <sup>(d)</sup> | 10.3 $\pm$ 0.3 <sup>(d)</sup>    |
| Propyl Paraben <sup>(b)</sup>     | 17.6 $\pm$ 0.3 <sup>(d)</sup>  | 21.6 $\pm$ 0.6 <sup>(d)</sup>    |
| Butyl Paraben <sup>(b)</sup>      | 11.1 $\pm$ 0.2 <sup>(d)</sup>  | 1.11 $\pm$ 0.03 <sup>(d)</sup>   |
| Triclosan <sup>(b)</sup>          | 17.7 $\pm$ 0.5 <sup>(d)</sup>  | 6.27 $\pm$ 0.32 <sup>(d)</sup>   |

<sup>(a)</sup> NIST analysis GC/MS

<sup>(b)</sup> CDC analysis; data were converted from ng/mL to  $\mu\text{g/kg}$  using the density of the urine (1.019 g/mL)

<sup>(c)</sup> The reference value is a weighted mean of average mass fractions, with one average from each of two analytical methods [30, 31]. The expanded uncertainty is the half-width of a symmetric 95 % parametric bootstrap confidence interval [32], which is consistent with the ISO Guide [33, 34]. The effective coverage factor,  $k$ , is 2

<sup>(d)</sup> The reference mass fraction value is the mean of results obtained using one analytical technique. The expanded uncertainty,  $U$ , is calculated as  $U = ku_c$ , where  $u_c$  is one standard deviation of the analyte mean, and the coverage factor,  $k$ , is determined from the Student's  $t$ -distribution corresponding to the associated degrees of freedom and a 95 % confidence level for each analyte. The effective coverage factor,  $k$ , is 2.20

**Table 4**

Reference mass fraction values ( $\mu\text{g/kg}$ ) for selected VOC metabolites in SRM 3672 organic contaminants in smokers' urine and SRM 3673 organic contaminants in non-smokers' urine

| Parent compound                               | Metabolite  | Common name | SRM 3672 <sup>(a,b)</sup> | SRM 3673 <sup>(a,b)</sup> |
|---|---|-------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Acrolein                                      | <i>N</i> -Acetyl-S-(3-hydroxypropyl)-L-cysteine   | 3HPMA       | 1080 $\pm$ 40             | 184 $\pm$ 13              |
|   | <i>N</i> -Acetyl-S-(2-carboxyethyl)-L-cysteine  | CEMA        | 200 $\pm$ 10              | 53.9 $\pm$ 7.4            |
| Acrylamide                                    | <i>N</i> -Acetyl-S-(2-carbamoyl-ethyl)-L-cysteine   | AAMA        | 122 $\pm$ 1               | 53.9 $\pm$ 7.4            |
|   | <i>N</i> -Acetyl-S-(2-carbamoyl-2-hydroxyethyl)-L-cysteine  | GAMA        | 30 $\pm$ 5.2              | 53.9 $\pm$ 7.4            |
| Acrylonitrile                                 | <i>N</i> -Acetyl-S-(2-cyanoethyl)-L-cysteine  | CYMA        | 126 $\pm$ 8               | 53.9 $\pm$ 7.4            |
| Acrylonitrile, Vinyl chloride, Ethylene oxide | <i>N</i> -Acetyl-S-(2-hydroxyethyl)-L-cysteine  | HEMA        | 2.52 $\pm$ 0.61           | not reported              |
| Benzene                                       | <i>N</i> -Acetyl-S-(phenyl)-L-cysteine  | PMA         | 1.08 $\pm$ 0.27           | 0.82 $\pm$ 0.26           |
|   | <i>trans,trans</i> -Muconic acid  | MU          | 173 $\pm$ 21              | 74 $\pm$ 12               |
| 1-Bromopropane                                | <i>N</i> -Acetyl-S-( <i>n</i> -propyl)-L-cysteine   | BPMA        | 16.4 $\pm$ 0.4            | 5 $\pm$ 1.3               |
| 1,3-Butadiene                                 | <i>N</i> -Acetyl-S-(3,4-dihydroxybutyl)-L-cysteine  | DHBMA       | 277 $\pm$ 24              | 162 $\pm$ 10              |
|   | <i>N</i> -Acetyl-S-(2-hydroxy-3-butenyl)-L-cysteine   | MHBMA2      | 2.01 $\pm$ 0.11           | not reported              |
|   | <i>N</i> -Acetyl-S-(4-hydroxy-2-buten-1-yl)-L-cysteine  | MHBMA3      | 54.8 $\pm$ 8.3            | 6.81 $\pm$ 0.99           |
| Carbon-disulfide                              | 2-Thioxothiazolidine-4-carboxylic acid  | TTCA        | 20.6 $\pm$ 2.3            | 27.6 $\pm$ 1              |
| Crotonaldehyde                                | <i>N</i> -Acetyl-S-(3-hydroxypropyl-1-methyl)-L-cysteine  | HPMMA       | 1670 $\pm$ 10             | 273 $\pm$ 7               |
| Cyanide                                       | 2-Aminothiazoline-4-carboxylic acid   | ATCA        | 117 $\pm$ 17              | 57.5 $\pm$ 7.9            |
| <i>N,N</i> -Dimethylformamide                 | <i>N</i> -Acetyl-S-( <i>N</i> -methylcarbamoyl)-L-cysteine  | AMCC        | 340 $\pm$ 26              | 129 $\pm$ 18              |
| Propylene oxide                               | <i>N</i> -Acetyl-S-(2-hydroxypropyl)-L-cysteine   | 2HPMA       | 305 $\pm$ 12              | 42.6 $\pm$ 6.9            |
| Styrene                                       | Mandelic acid   | MA          | 242 $\pm$ 9               | 81 $\pm$ 13               |
|   | <i>N</i> -Acetyl-S-(1-phenyl-2-hydroxyethyl)-L-cysteine and <i>N</i> -Acetyl-S-(2-phenyl-2-hydroxyethyl)-L-cysteine | PHEMA       | 0.97 $\pm$ 0.32           | not reported              |
| Styrene, Ethylbenzene                         | Phenylglyoxylic acid  | PGA         | 290 $\pm$ 18              | 138 $\pm$ 4               |
| Toluene                                       | <i>N</i> -Acetyl-S-(benzyl)-L-cysteine  | BMA         | 5.17 $\pm$ 0.83           | 3.54 $\pm$ 0.15           |
| Xylene  | 2-Methylhippuric acid   | 2-MHA       | 73.5 $\pm$ 4.8            | 20.8 $\pm$ 6.3            |
|   | 3-Methyl & 4-Methylhippuric acids   | 3-MHA+4-MHA | 531 $\pm$ 7               | 109 $\pm$ 14              |

<sup>(a)</sup> CDC analysis; data were converted from ng/mL to  $\mu\text{g/kg}$  using the density of the urine (1.019 g/mL)

<sup>(b)</sup> The reference mass fraction value is the mean of results obtained using one analytical technique. The expanded uncertainty,  $U$ , is calculated as  $U = k u_C$ , where  $u_C$  is one standard deviation of the analyte mean, and the coverage factor,  $k$ , is determined from the Student's  $t$ -distribution corresponding to the associated degrees of freedom and a 95 % confidence level for each analyte. The effective coverage factor  $k$  is 4.30

**Table 5**

Reference mass fraction values (mg/kg) for additional analytes in SRM 3672 organic contaminants in smokers' urine and SRM 3673 organic contaminants in non-smokers' urine

|                                  | SRM 3672 <sup>(a,b)</sup> | (k)    | SRM 3673 <sup>(a,b)</sup> | (k)    |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------|---------------------------|--------|
| Creatinine                       | 734±5                     | (2.07) | 505±2                     | (2.07) |
| Nicotine <sup>(c)</sup>          | 0.731±0.018               | (2.16) | 0.029±0.002               | (2.36) |
| Ibuprofen <sup>(c)</sup>         | 0.122±0.005               | (2.16) | 0.35±0.002                | (2.36) |
| Caffeine <sup>(c)</sup>          | 2.65±0.05                 | (2.16) | 3.58±0.05                 | (2.36) |
| Cotinine <sup>(c)</sup>          | 1.09±0.02                 | (2.16) | 0.024±0.001               | (2.36) |
| Theobromine <sup>(c)</sup>       | 3.31±0.09                 | (2.16) | 5.94±0.15                 | (2.36) |
| 3-Hydroxycotinine <sup>(c)</sup> | 3.46±0.09                 | (2.16) | not reported              |        |

<sup>(a)</sup> NIST analysis

<sup>(b)</sup> The reference mass fraction value is the mean of results obtained using one analytical technique. The expanded uncertainty,  $U$ , is calculated as  $U = ku_c$ , where  $u_c$  is one standard deviation of the analyte mean, and the coverage factor,  $k$ , is determined from the Student's  $t$ -distribution corresponding to the associated degrees of freedom and a 95 % confidence level for each analyte. The effective coverage factor  $k$  is given in the table

<sup>(c)</sup> Mass fraction of non-conjugated species only